A quantum cascade laser infrared spectrometer for CO₂ stable isotope analysis: Field implementation at a hydrocarbon contaminated site under bio-remediation
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ABSTRACT

Real-time methods to monitor stable isotope ratios of CO₂ are needed to identify biogeochemical origins of CO₂ emissions from the soil–air interface. An isotope ratio infra-red spectrometer (IRIS) has been developed to measure CO₂ mixing ratio with Δ13C isotopic signature, in addition to mixing ratios of other greenhouse gases (CH₄, N₂O). The original aspects of the instrument as well as its precision and accuracy for the determination of the isotopic signature Δ13C of CO₂ are discussed. A first application to biodegradation of hydrocarbons is presented, tested on a hydrocarbon contaminated site under aerobic biotreatment. CO₂ flux measurements using closed chamber method is combined with the determination of the isotopic signature Δ13C of the CO₂ emission to propose a non-intrusive method to monitor in situ biodegradation of hydrocarbons. In the contaminated area, high CO₂ emissions have been measured with an isotopic signature Δ13C suggesting that CO₂ comes from petroleum hydrocarbon biodegradation. This first field implementation shows that rapid and accurate measurement of isotopic signature of CO₂ emissions is particularly useful in assessing the contribution of contaminant degradation to the measured CO₂ efflux and is promising as a monitoring tool for aerobic bio-treatment.

© 2016 The Research Center for Eco-Environmental Sciences, Chinese Academy of Sciences. Published by Elsevier B.V.

Introduction

Flux measurement of CO₂ from land–air interface with Δ13C/12C (labeled δ13C) characterization allows a better understanding of the biogeochemical mechanisms of the C cycle leading to the CO₂ emissions (Ghosh and Brand, 2003). Biogeochemical processes as photosynthesis, photorespiration, and soil microbial respiration come with isotopic fractionation of Δ13C/12C of the carbon atom (Schweizer et al., 1999; Ekblad and Högberg, 2000; Gilbert et al., 2011).

Real-time methods to monitor stable isotope ratios of CO₂ are thus needed to improve our understanding of its sources and sinks (Nelson et al., 2008, and references therein). The characterization of the biogeochemical origins of CO₂ emissions from land–air interface may need a precision as good as 10⁻⁴ (or 0.1‰) for the determination of the isotopic signature Δ13C of
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of CO₂, IRMS (isotope ratio mass spectrometry) commonly is the standard method for isotopic abundance analysis because of its high precision (≪0.1‰). However IRMS is not suitable for real-time continuous analysis or for field deployment. Many studies have now shown that IRIS (isotope ratio infra-red spectrometry) can reach this suitability, with a better mobility and a precision which gets closer to IRMS, as shown by the IRIS instruments being developed and tested in the field (Bowling et al., 2005; Schaeffer et al., 2008; Croizé et al., 2008; Nelson et al., 2008; Richter et al., 2009).

For the investigation of the biogeochemical origins of CO₂ emissions from land-air interface, LPC2E has developed an IRIS instrument, SPIRIT (spectrometer infra-red in situ tropospheric), to measure CO₂ flux with δ₁³C isotopic signature, in addition to the measurement of fluxes of other greenhouse gases (GHG), such as CH₄ and N₂O previously described (Guimbaud et al., 2011; Grossel et al., 2014).

The goal of our development is not to reach better performances of IRIS instruments described above but to keep the versatility of SPIRIT for different species analysis. The objective is to keep the easy length path modulation of the original large volume cell of SPIRIT to switch from CO₂ to CH₄/N₂O ambient air analysis with the capacity to characterize the δ₁³C isotopic signature of CO₂ with a precision high enough for geochemistry applications. As a consequence, other species isotopic ratios of biochemical interest such as δ₁⁸O and δ²H/δ¹⁸O of CH₄ (Whiticar, 1999; Tsuji et al., 2006; Hornibrook and Bowes, 2007; Witinski et al., 2011) could be explored in the future as SPIRIT is versatile for both easy length path modulation and laser change. Therefore, long optical path is still preferred for N₂O and CH₄ analysis ability by keeping the SPIRIT large original volume cell and mirror diameter which provide a large number of stable reflections. Cell temperature regulation and thus the isotope ratio precision (strongly temperature dependent) are shown to be close to performances obtained from smaller volume optical cells (< 1 L) commonly used from previous authors.

The original aspects of the instrument as well as its precision and accuracy for the determination of the isotopic signature δ₁³C of the CO₂ are largely discussed. Precision for the determination of the isotopic signature δ₁⁸O/δ¹⁸O (labeled δ₁⁸O) of CO₂ is also given for information despite no standard calibration is used to check its accuracy. First original results applied to biodegradation of hydrocarbons are presented, tested on a hydrocarbon polluted site under aerobic bio-treatment. CO₂ flux measurement using closed chamber method is combined with the determination of the isotopic signature δ₁³C of the CO₂ emission to propose a non-intrusive method for monitoring in situ biodegradation of hydrocarbons.

1. Instrument description

1.1. Modified version of SPIRIT

1.1.1. Implementation of an additional laser for CO₂ mixing ratio and δ₁³C isotopic signature determination

SPIRIT (spectrometer infra-red in situ tropospheric), is a portable wheel infra-red spectrometer (~ 100 kg; 120 cm × 80 cm × 50 cm) developed by our group (Guimbaud et al., 2011) to measure gas phase volume mixing ratios of CH₄, N₂O and CO₂, as well as isotopic ratios of ¹³C/¹²C and ¹⁸O/¹⁶O of CO₂, as described in this paper.

SPIRIT is equipped with a patented non-resonant multi-pass optical cell (French patent FR2889599 and international patents WO 2007/017,570 A1; Robert, 2007) allowing for an easy length path modulation (e.g., 14 to 84 m) to switch from CH₄/N₂O to CO₂ ambient air analysis, thanks to the rotation of one half of a broad band spherical mirror. Distributive feedback (DFB) quantum cascade laser (QCL) in continuous wave (CW) operates near room temperature (RT) in the mid IR region. These lasers emit a more considerable light power with better spectral resolution (10⁻⁴/cm) than the classical lead-salt diode lasers and operate near ambient temperature.

SPIRIT is able to operate with three QCLs while keeping the use of one optical cell and two detectors, one for the measurement channel and one for the reference channel (with a Fabry Perot etalon for relative wavenumber calibration). The three lasers can work sequentially, triggered and synchronized by the data acquisition system within a periodicity of 1.5 sec, thanks to the home-made QCL emission controller.

The first version of SPIRIT operated with one laser only using the N₂O and CH₄ ro-vibrational lines positioned at the central wavenumbers 1261.9874 and 1262.2285/cm, with line intensities of 1.044 × 10⁻¹⁰ and 2.723 × 10⁻²⁰ cm/molecule at 296 K, respectively (Rothman et al., 2009). The instrument description and method for CH₄ and N₂O concentration retrieval are reported in Guimbaud et al. (2011). SPIRIT has demonstrated high precision for CH₄ and N₂O mixing ratio measurement in ambient air (0.2% for 1.5 sec of integration time, namely ~ 4 ppb for CH₄ at 1 ppmV and ~ 0.6 ppb for N₂O at 320 ppbV) as well as for flux emission measurements above peatlands, lakes, and cultivated fields, using the closed chamber method (Guimbaud et al., 2011; Gogo et al., 2011).

A second laser has been implemented, using the ¹²C¹⁶O¹⁶O, ¹²C¹⁸O¹⁶O and ¹³C¹⁸O¹⁶O ro-vibrational lines positioned at the central wavenumbers 2310.0025, 2310.2056 and 2310.3470/cm, with line intensities of 4.664 × 10⁻²¹, 4.637 × 10⁻²¹ and 6.447 × 10⁻²¹ cm/molecule, respectively (Rothman et al., 2005, 2009), as shown in Fig. 1.

1.1.2. Temperature regulated multipass cell for accurate δ₁³C isotopic signature determination

For the identification of the biogeochemical origins of CO₂ emissions from land-air interface, the isotopic signature of CO₂ emissions is defined as δ₁³Csample (%) or δ:

δ = (R/RVPDB−1) × 1000

where, R and RVPDB are the isotopic concentration ratios ¹³C/¹²C of the sample and of the international standard, the Vienna Pee Dee Belemnite, respectively. RVPDB is 1.12372%, as defined by Craig (1954).

Ro-vibrational line strengths, mainly for ¹²C¹⁶O¹⁶O, are strongly temperature dependent. As a consequence, δ₁³C isotopic signature of CO₂ precision is strongly dependent on temperature stability and precision and would require small volume optical cells (< 1 L) for a better temperature regulation. However, due to the aim of the new SPIRIT instrument to measure mixing ratios of various atmospheric trace gases over...
a wide range of mixing ratios, it is decided to keep the same cell volume (3.3 L) and length (65 cm) with its easy length path modulation to switch from CO$_2$ to CH$_4$/N$_2$O ambient air analysis. Despite the fact that a larger volume cell is not optimized for fast temperature regulation, it provides good latent heat and the smoothest efficient temperature changes from the entering gas flow.

The multipass cell is reconstructed in aluminum alloy and stabilized at a temperature above ambient temperature by heating with a precision of ±0.02°C. The set value of this stabilized temperature system is specified at the start with the value of the optical bench temperature plus 5°C to reduce the heat loss. The cell is also covered with a thermal protection to reduce these heat loss and temperature gradient apart the cell. The multipass cell uses five feedback loop controls. These controls are located on points with heat flow. Each feedback control is built with a series of heating resistors and one temperature sensor (platinum resistor PT1000, JUMO GmbH, Fulda, Germany). Fig. 2 shows the distribution of these feedback loop controls. The heating resistors are thin flexible resistors (Minco, Fridley, Minnesota, USA). They are glued on the surface of the cell. The surface of each resistor is maximal to avoid heat point. The casings of the resistor cells are made of aluminum alloy for a good thermal conductivity. The first control (resistors H1 and the sensor T1) stabilizes the temperature of the front of the cell where it is fastened onto the optical bench. This control reduces the impact of the heat flow of the holding parts. The third control (H3, T3) performs the same role. The second control (H2, T2) reduces the heat leak of the long tube. To reduce the impact of air inlet we have two controls: H4, T4 and H5, T5. Each heating system is mounted with heater fins. This stabilizes the air temperature at the good value in the cell. We study all the feedback loop control to determinate the proportional integral derivative (PID) controllers and their interactions. Each controller impacts the stability of the others. These feedback controls are simulated with Matlab Simulink (MathWorks, Natick, Massachusetts, USA) software.

All temperature sensors (PT1000) of the cell are calibrated together in a climatic chamber. For a very good precision, the measures of the PT1000 are made with 4 wires. The calibration of these sensors has allowed the determination of correction function for each sensor with a precision of ±0.015°C in the range of 10–40°C. The PID controllers have an error close to zero thanks to the integral. Thus, the errors of all the controllers are essentially the errors of the measurement of the temperature.

**Fig. 1** – Transmission spectrum of CO$_2$ (400 ppmV in air) obtained from the Hitran database (Rothman et al., 2005, 2009) simulated at 30 hPa and 282 K at SPIRIT (spectrometer infra-red in situ tropospheric) resolution of 10$^{-3}$/cm.

**Fig. 2** – Sketch of the temperature control of the multipass cell.
1.1.3. Schematic design of the instrument

The method for concentration retrieval and the principle of the instrument were extensively described in Guimbaud et al. (2011). In Fig. 3, the main changes are schematized with the implementation of a temperature regulation for the multipass cell and the addition of two electro valves on the gas line at the input and at the output of the multipass cell.

This electro valves switch sequence allows for successive, isotopic calibration, sampling air isotopic monitoring (air sample in the atmosphere or in the accumulation chamber), and isotopic calibration again, for accurate $\delta^{13}C$ measurement and validation of air sample. The two electro valves are simultaneously switched from calibration gas input/output positions to air input/output positions (Fig. 3) for the measurement in the absorption cell of either calibration gas or air sample. The isotopic signature measurement, i.e., $\delta^{13}C$ or $\delta^{18}O$ of CO$_2$ is made in three successive steps. First, the calibration gas coming from a compressed synthetic air cylinder is sent into the cell and the retrieved concentrations of each isotope are averaged over few minutes. These values are used in the next steps to establish isotopic signatures of the sample. Second, the two electro valves are simultaneously switched on and air sampling passes through the cell and isotope concentrations are measured. As the isotopic signature of the calibration gas is known, the isotopic signature of CO$_2$ in the sampled air is established according to calculations described later. Third, the electro valves are simultaneously switched back to the initial position and isotopic signature of the calibration gas is checked being equal to the reference value and then recalculated in the same way as previously described. This third step allows the determination of any drift of the instrument during this three step process and calibration is always performed again just before the next ambient air analysis.

1.1.4. Calculation of the isotopic signature $\delta^{13}C$ of CO$_2$

The isotopic signature of CO$_2$ in the air sampling measured with SPIRIT, defined as $\delta^{13}C$ or $\delta^{18}O$ is calculated from the measured ratios of the concentrations ($^{13}C$ and $^{12}C$) of each isotope of CO$_2$, i.e.,

$$ R = \frac{{^{13}C}}{{^{12}C}} $$

(derived from analysis of ambient air) and

$$ R^0 = \frac{{^{13}C^0}}{{^{12}C^0}} $$

(derived from analysis of a reference synthetic compressed CO$_2$/air cylinders having typically a volume of 20 L and a pressure of 200 bar).

The reference cylinder is certified by Air Liquide (Centre-Bourgogne, France) in CO$_2$ volume mixing ratio, $X^0$, expressed in parts per million by volume (ppmV) and certified in isotopic signature $\delta^{13}C = \delta^0$ by gas bench isotope ratio mass spectrometry (gas bench-IRMS, Finnigan Gas Bench II, Thermo

---

**Fig. 3** – Schematic of the instrument design. GPS: global positioning system; RS232: standard computer port for serial communication transmission of data.
One of the previous δ values, given by (R / RVPDB - 1) × 1000 according to Eq. (1), is the one used for instrument calibration and then to calculate δ of sampling air. δ is by definition expressed versus VPDB, and we do not have access to the ratio of the calculated concentrations of each C isotope from the VPDB standard (RVPDB = ²³C/²¹C). As a consequence, we need to reformulate δ as a function of the measurable parameters by SPIRIT given in Eq. (2). From Eq. (1) we get:

\[ δ² = \left( \frac{R}{R_{VPDB}} - 1 \right) \times 1000 \]  

\[ δ² = \left( \frac{²³C}{²¹C} \right)_{VPDB} \times 1000 \]  

\[ δ² = \left( \frac{²³C}{²¹C} \right)_{VPDB} - 1 \times 1000 \]  

From Eqs. (2a) and (2b) we get Eq. (2c), where δ is expressed directly from measured parameters in the field:

\[ δ = \left( \frac{²³C}{²¹C} \right) \times \left( \frac{²³C}{²¹C} \right)_{VPDB} \times (1 + δ²/1000) - 1 \times 1000 \]  

where, δ² = -40.53‰ VPDB (C1) or -43.24‰ VPDB (C2) or -43.20‰ VPDB (C3).

1.2. Performances of SPIRIT for δ¹³C of CO₂ determination

1.2.1. Variance plots for δ¹³C of CO₂

The log-log plot of Allan variance (σ²Allan) (%) of δ¹³C versus integration time (Fig. 4) provides the time dependence of the precision and the possible drift behavior of the instrument, i.e., the bias change over time. The minimum variance σ²Allan of 0.003‰ at 30 sec and variance of 0.02‰ at 1000 sec integration time, i.e., σAllan of 0.05‰ and of 0.17‰ respectively, are given for low δ (-40.5‰) compressed synthetic air (Fig. 4a). Similar minimum variance σ²Allan of 0.007‰ at 30 sec and variance of 0.02‰ at 1000 sec integration time, i.e., σAllan of 0.08‰ and of 0.14‰ respectively, are given for high δ (-10.2‰) compressed room air (Fig. 4b). The minimum variance value describes the turn-over point where the random noise becomes dominated by additional drift noise, likely due to temperature drift of the optical absorption cell. σAllan of 0.2‰ for 20 min integration time is similar to the bias drift of the reference cylinder observed under field conditions 20 min after calibration, where air sample is analyzed between calibration and drift measurement. The log-log plot of Allan variance (σ²Allan) (%) of δ¹⁸O versus integration time is also displayed in Fig. 4, as no calibration is needed to get this information. Similar minimum variance at 30 sec integration time is observed.

1.2.2. δ¹³C of CO₂ precision and accuracy for the scale range of δ encountered during the investigation of biogeochemical processes

Table 1 and 2 provide the precision of SPIRIT on δ¹³C of CO₂ at values close to -43‰ and -10‰, representative of the δ range encountered from different sources of CO₂ produced from biogeochemical processes (Aggarwal and Hinchee, 1991; Boutilier, 1991). In Table 1 and 2, compressed synthetic air (20 L, 200 bar) certified in mixing ratio (390.0 ppmV) and analyzed in isotopic composition (δ² = -43.24‰ ± 0.28‰ VPDB) is used to calibrate SPIRIT.

SPIRIT is only calibrated with the δ² value of -43.24‰ VPDB according to Eq. (2c) above. As a consequence, the quality of SPIRIT measurements for the upper values of δ needs to be estimated from ambient/room air tanks also measured in isotopic signature by gas bench-IRMS (~ 10‰ VPDB). In Table 2, SPIRIT is first calibrated with the δ² compressed synthetic air during 3 min and then the upper δ is measured for 3 min (10 replicates). As shown in Table 1, δ values for ambient pressure air and compressed room air measured with SPIRIT (~10.9‰ ± 0.31‰ and -10.18‰ ± 0.55‰ VPDB) agree with the ones measured by gas bench IRMS values (~10.64‰ ± 0.15‰ and -10.25‰ ± 0.07‰ VPDB), respectively. Thus, no significant
bias of the instrument. Serial injections of high CO2 concentration (10% volume mixing ratio in dry air) is performed using a small volume (10 mL) gas chromatography (GC) syringe relative to the large 15 L volume chamber, starting from air zero (without H2O, CO2 and volatile organic compounds) inside the chamber. Linear increase of CO2 mixing ratio (−65 ppm/injection) versus injection number is observed up to 1500 ppm. The best linear increase is found from 300 to 900 ppm (with a squared correlation coefficient R2 > 0.998). Also, δ is found to be −33.4‰ ± 0.3‰ with no significant δ dependence (≤0.4‰) as a function of CO2 mixing ratio, as it should be expected. Similar insignificant δ dependence is also observed for the upper values of δ (≥4‰) by degassing CO2 inside the chamber from the reaction of commercial clay with concentrated hydrochloric acid.

1.2.3. Precision and accuracy for δ13C of CO2 using the closed chamber method and the Keeling plot approach in field implementation

Fluxes and δ13C of CO2 emission are derived from the closed chamber method as described in Guimbaud et al. (2011). The optical cell of SPIRIT is connected to the top of the 15 L and 31 cm height CO2 accumulation chamber. The latter one is set on a permanent polyvinylchloride (PVC) cylinder collar (30 cm diameter and height) sunk 15 cm into the soil. Air is sampled from and re-injected to the chamber via two PFA Teflon tubes (3 or 15 m length; 6 mm external diameters) protected from an external water proof larger tube. Sampling flow rate is typically 1 standard L/min through the PFA tubes and the SPIRIT optical cell. The top 15 cm soil layer has been removed from and re-injected to the chamber via two PFA Teflon tubes (3 or 15 m length; 6 mm external diameters) protected from an external water proof larger tube. Sampling flow rate is typically 1 standard L/min through the PFA tubes and the SPIRIT optical cell. The top 15 cm soil layer has been removed before the PVC collar installation to avoid additional sources of CO2 emission such as surface biomass respiration, in order to monitor in vast majority CO2 emissions from biodegradation of the fuel leak.

The flux of CO2 is calculated from the slope of the stabilized linear increase of the CO2 mixing ratio with time, recorded during 5 min. The flux of CO2 per surface unit (molecules/m2·sec) is defined as Fδ.

\[ F_\delta = \frac{P \times h}{(k_b \times T)} \times \frac{dX}{dt} \]  

Table 1 – Calibration of SPIRIT with certified compressed synthetic air and comparison with high δ values using atmospheric air.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Monitored parameter</th>
<th>X (ppmV)</th>
<th>δ (‰)</th>
<th>σδ (‰)</th>
<th>αX (ppmV)</th>
<th>αδ (‰)</th>
<th>αδ (‰)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Compressed synthetic air labeled C2 (20 L, 200 bar)</td>
<td>390a</td>
<td>−43.24a</td>
<td>0.28a</td>
<td>390.0b</td>
<td>0.16b</td>
<td>−43.24b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ambient pressure room air</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>−10.64a</td>
<td>0.13a</td>
<td>384.3d</td>
<td>0.47d</td>
<td>−10.90d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compressed room air (10 L, 100 bar)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>−10.25a</td>
<td>0.07a</td>
<td>378.0d</td>
<td>0.24d</td>
<td>−10.18d</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 – Precision on δ using certified compressed synthetic air and compressed room air, where a 10 min period is representative for CO2 analysis emissions at ground surface from contaminated aquifers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Monitored parameter</th>
<th>X (ppmV)</th>
<th>αX (ppmV)</th>
<th>δ (‰)</th>
<th>αδ (‰)</th>
<th>Protocol (10 replicates)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Compressed synthetic air (20 L, 200 bar)</td>
<td>389.9a</td>
<td>0.176a</td>
<td>−43.32a</td>
<td>0.37a</td>
<td>Calibration (3 min)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compressed room air (10 L, 100 bar)</td>
<td>378.0a</td>
<td>0.236a</td>
<td>−10.18a</td>
<td>0.43a</td>
<td>Measurement (10 min)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compressed synthetic air (20 L, 200 bar)</td>
<td>390.1b</td>
<td>0.229b</td>
<td>−42.94b</td>
<td>0.41b</td>
<td>Drift analysis (3 min)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The reference synthetic compressed CO2/air cylinder labeled C2 (X0 = 390 ppmV and δ0 = −43.24‰ ± 0.28‰, VPDB) is used.

VPDB: Vienna Pee Dee Belemnite.

a Values recalculated during calibration.
b Values from drift analysis 10 min later.
where, $P$ (Pa) is pressure inside the chamber, $k_b$ (J/K) is Boltzmann constant, $T$ (K) is absolute temperature inside the chamber, $h$ (m) is mean height of the chamber above soil surface, $X$ (unit-less) is volume mixing ratio of CO$_2$, $dX/dt$ (sec$^{-1}$) is rate of accumulation of CO$_2$.

The $\delta^{13}C$ signature of the emitted CO$_2$ is given by the Y intercept derived from the linear regression of $\delta^{13}C$ of CO$_2$ versus 1/CO$_2$ ratio measured inside the accumulation chamber, defined as Keeling plot method (Pataki et al., 2003) as developed below and given by the final Eq. (4e).

This result is obtained by mass balance conservation equations applied for isotope concentration $^{12}C + ^{13}C = C$ (Eq. (4a)) and $^{13}C$ (Eqs. (4b) and (4c)),

\[
\begin{align*}
C_{Ch} &= C^A + C^S \\
^{13}C_{Ch} &= ^{13}C^A + ^{13}C^S \\
C_{Ch} \times R_{Ch} &= C^A \times R^A + C^S \times R^S
\end{align*}
\]

where, $C_{Ch}$, $C^A$ and $C^S$ are defined as CO$_2$ volume mixing ratios in the accumulation chamber, in the outside air (initially present inside the chamber) and from the emission source; $R_{Ch}$, $R^A$, $R^S$, $\delta^A$ and $\delta^S$ are the respective isotopic ratios (R) and isotopic signature ($\delta$) of $^{13}C/^{12}C$ of CO$_2$ (‰ VPDB).

Dividing Eq. (4c) by $R_{VPDB}$ and then substituting the resulting equation by Eq. (4a) we get:

\[
\begin{align*}
C_{Ch} \times \delta_{Ch} &= C^A \times \delta^A + C^S \times \delta^S \\
C_{Ch} \times \delta_{Ch} &= C^A \times \delta^A + \left(C_{Ch} - C^A\right) \times \delta^S
\end{align*}
\]

and if this equation is injected into Eq. (4d) it gives:

\[
\begin{align*}
C_{Ch} \times \delta_{Ch} &= C^A \times \delta^A + \left(C_{Ch} - C^A\right) \times \delta^S \\
\delta_{Ch} &= \delta^A \left(1/C_{Ch}\right) + \delta^S
\end{align*}
\]

CO$_2$ accumulation in the closed chamber starts at ambient air CO$_2$ concentration (around 390 ppmV) to reach 1000 ppmV for an accurate determination of the $\delta^{13}C$ for the emitted CO$_2$ ($\delta^A$). The delay of accumulation lasts from several minutes to 1 hr depending on the emission rate of CO$_2$. The intercept precision, obtained from the linear regression of $\delta^{13}C$ versus 1/C$_{Ch}$, is typically 0.1% for $\delta^S$ value.

Gas bench IRMS isotopic signature is derived with a precision or reproducibility of 0.11‰ for 10 bulb samples and with an analytical accuracy (bias) <0.3‰. In the field, calibrations are performed during 3 min each time before isotopic signature measurements of CO$_2$ emissions. CO$_2$ accumulation usually lasts no longer than 40 min to avoid a $\delta^{13}C$ time drift due to temperature change of the optical system. Drift analysis of $\delta^{13}C$ of calibration cylinder is checked just after 3 min integration time, just before calibration again. In addition, a reference compressed ambient/room air gas cylinder ($\delta^S$ close to −10.2‰ VPDB) is used to regularly check $\delta^{13}C$ accuracy in the upper data range of possible isotopic signature obtained in the field.

All sequences to derive the flux and $\delta^{13}C$ of CO$_2$ emission from ground are presented in Fig. 5 for collar number 16 on 2 August, 2014. In Fig. 5a and b, sequence A is the calibration of SPIRIT performed during 3 min with the CO$_2$ gas standard cylinder C3 (406 ppmV and $\delta^S = -43.20\%$ VPDB); sequence B is ambient air analysis (385 ppmV and $\delta = -11.5\%$ VPDB) during 3 min where the chamber is lifted up to 1 m above ground, to flush the optical cell from calibration gas after the electronic valve switch; sequence C is flux emission measurement from ground during 5 min (for this collar) where the chamber is set on the collar until 1000 ppmV of CO$_2$ is reached inside the closed chamber (in Fig. 5a, the flux is given by the slope of the linear mixing ratio rise); sequence D is a repetition of sequence B; and sequence E is a 3 min analysis of the CO$_2$ gas standard cylinder for time drift analysis. From sequence C in Fig. 5b, $\delta$ values are used to obtain the Keeling plot in Fig. 5c. The isotopic signature of the CO$_2$ emission source is given by the Y axis origin intercept ($\delta^S = -30.7\%$ VPDB) derived from linear regression in Fig. 5c.

Precision for $\delta^S$, derived on the field from a single Keeling plot, is <0.43‰. $\delta^S$ precision (random error) is given by the root of the sum of the square of each maximum individual precision involved to reach its value, i.e., 0.11‰ for gas bench-IRMS, 0.4% for SPIRIT drift analysis after 20 min of Keeling plot analysis, and 0.1‰ for the Keeling plot intercept. $\delta^S$ accuracy (bias) of 0.32% is also given by the root mean error method propagation taking into account the accuracy provided by the gas bench-IRMS (0.3%), used as the reference for the absolute calibration of SPIRIT and the accuracy of the absorption ratio for the 2 isotopes equivalent to the isotope concentration ratio $^{13}C/^{12}C$ (0.1%). Therefore, the overall uncertainty for $\delta^S$, derived from one Keeling plot on field deployment is in the order of 0.5% (0.53% calculated for 20 min of accumulation).

2. Ground surface gas analysis method for monitoring aerobic bio-remediation of a BTEX-polluted site in SPIRIT field implementation

In situ monitoring of hydrocarbon contaminated aquifers treated through biodegradation is often expensive, intrusive and technically challenging. Conventional intrusive groundwater sampling campaigns need the installation of costly monitoring wells for vertical profile analysis restricted at some discrete locations only. As a consequence, data collected could not provide the most relevant information because significant subsurface heterogeneity exists with regard to hydrocarbon distribution in contaminated aquifers, soil texture and permeability.

To overcome these limitations and to reduce the cost of the monitoring, two new alternative surface analysis methods have been tested simultaneously at a benzene–toluene–ethylbenzene–xylenes (BTEX)-polluted site under aerobic bio-treatment within a project named BIOPHY (optimization of biodecontamination processes of contaminated aquifers with hydrocarbons by on-line geophysical and gas analysis monitoring) funded by the French National Agency for Research (ANR ECOTECH, 2010): geophysical methods (electrical resistivity and induced polarization) and gas flux
measurement method (CO\textsubscript{2} emissions at ground surface with isotopic concentration ratio $^{13}$C/$^{12}$C determination). Geophysical methods and the combination with the gas analysis method are widely discussed in Noel et al. (2016a,b). The aim of the present paper is to demonstrate that a gas analysis method at ground surface could be promising to provide a new methodology for in situ monitoring at the level of the current performances of SPIRIT.

2.1. State of the art CO\textsubscript{2} as a tracer for biodegradation of hydrocarbons

During hydrocarbon biodegradation, there is production and emission of CO\textsubscript{2} (Kaufmann et al., 2004), and even carbon isotopic fractionation. Indeed, molecules with lighter isotopes $^{12}$C could be preferentially degraded by bacteria (Meckenstock et al., 2004). Thus gas phase-released CO\textsubscript{2} will be enriched in...
\(^{12}\text{C}\) with a weaker \(\delta^{13}\text{C}\) than its initial, whereas residual pollutants will be depleted in \(^{12}\text{C}\) with a higher \(\delta^{13}\text{C}\).

Laboratory experiments using pure cultures of bacteria show that aerobic BTEX biodegradation can be accompanied by significant carbon isotope fractionation, with \(^{13}\text{C}\) enrichment factors (\(\epsilon\) values) of residual pollutant. For example Fischer et al. (2008) reported carbon enrichment factors of benzene between 0.7\(\%\) and 4.3\(\%\), and Morasch et al. (2002) found enrichment factor between 0.4\(\%\) and 3.3\(\%\) for toluene.

Water samples in hydrocarbon contaminated aquifers have shown similar enrichments of BTEX, i.e., 3.8\(\%\) for benzene, 2.6\(\%\) for toluene, 1.3\(\%\) for ethyl-benzene and 4.6\(\%\) for xylene (Morasch et al., 2002), and 3.3\(\%\) for benzene and 3.6\(\%\) for naphthalene (Griebler et al., 2004).

Few analysis of \(^{13}\text{C}\) fractionation has been performed under well-defined bacteria environments. Jackson and Pardue (1999) have shown that aerobic biodegradation of hexadecane lead to no fractionation of \(^{13}\text{C}\) produced, whereas a negative fractionation of 5.6\(\%\) can be measured for phenol (Hall et al., 1999). In situ experiments are often performed by \(^{13}\text{C}\) extraction from soils pumped from syringes, with a post analysis in laboratory using IRMS. As an example, Aggarwal and Hinchee (1991) investigated aerobic biodegradation in different locations contaminated by spilled or leaked jet fuel from air force base. \(^{13}\text{C}\) concentrations in soil gases varied from 0.2\% VPDB to 2.2\% VPDB in uncontaminated zones and from 0.6\% VPDB to 13.0\% VPDB in contaminated zones of the different locations. \(^{13}\text{C}\) in soil gases at uncontaminated zones displayed isotopic signature from plant root respiration and from decaying organic matter during the growing season which agrees with the photosynthesis pathway of carbon fixation of the vegetation type, i.e., \(\delta^{13}\text{C}\) of \(^{13}\text{CO}_2\) ---25\% VPDB in temperate climates for plants using the C-3 cycle of carbon fixation and ---12\% VPDB in subtropical and desert climates for plants using the Hatch--Slack or C-4 cycle of carbon fixation. It is observed that \(\delta^{13}\text{C}\) of \(^{13}\text{CO}_2\) is more negative by 5\% in all contaminated locations, indicating that the major part of \(^{13}\text{CO}_2\) stored at the ground surface is accumulated from natural biodegradation of petroleum hydrocarbons which have very negative isotopic signature compared to surface organic matter. However, Aggarwal and Hinchee experiments do not provide quantification of hydrocarbon biodegradation rate from \(^{13}\text{CO}_2\) analysis and still remain offline information. As a consequence, an online \(^{13}\text{CO}_2\) flux measurement method at the scale of the contaminated zone with \(^{13}\text{CO}_2\) origin quantification is needed to estimate the kinetic of a biodegradation process.

According to our knowledge, no investigation of \(^{13}\text{CO}_2\) emission with isotopic signature determination has been performed from direct natural flux measurement at the soil–air interface with the aim to quantify natural flux losses of \(^{13}\text{CO}_2\) from biodegradation covering an overall surface of an aquifer contamination zone from petroleum hydrocarbons within a large period of a biodegradation process, occurring often at the year scale.

2.2. Site

The field site studied is a gasoline station in France where gasoline and diesel fuels leaked in 1997 (Fig. 6). It is still in activity but the former tank installation (source of contamination) was dismantled. Several boreholes had been drilled for previous studies on this site. These studies showed that:

1. the ground is mainly composed with silts and clays;
2. it has a low permeability (between \(10^{-6}\) and \(10^{-7}\) m/sec);
3. the direction of flow of the groundwater is from southeast to northwest;
4. groundwater velocity is around 17 m/year;
5. the water table level varies from 2.5 to 4.5 m depth;
6. there is a significant amount of hydrocarbons such as BTEX in the area of the former tank installation due to the slow biodegradation rate of such aromatic compounds; and
7. natural attenuation occurred in that site. Indeed, the presence of a bacterial flora is indicated by the absence of dissolved oxygen that matches the BTEX plume in water (Verardo et al., 2013).

As a natural biodegradation of hydrocarbons was already in process, a bio-treatment by an oxygen supply to stimulate aerobic biodegradation has been undertaken. A permeable reactive barrier was implemented to stop the plume migration. Biodegradation stimulation started on 27 March, 2014. Diluted \(\text{H}_2\text{O}_2\) is added continuously to pumped water from the barrier. Then, oxygenated pumped water is injected below water table upstream the barrier.

Before bio-treatment, 21 PVC cylinder collars (diameter 30 cm) have been sunk into the soil allowing to cover \(^{13}\text{CO}_2\) emissions all over the contaminated site (upstream, above and downstream the reactive barrier), as shown in Fig. 6. Measurements are performed every one or two months since February 2014.

2.3. Results and discussion

\(^{13}\text{CO}_2\) fluxes with \(\bar{\sigma}\) isotopic signatures are presented from February to December 2014 (Figs. 7 and 8). Some BTEX concentrations and \(\bar{\sigma}\) values are also presented for May and December 2014 (Figs. 9 and 10). \(^{13}\text{CO}_2\) emission rates increase in all spots from February to July (Fig. 7 and Table 3) from about 200 to 1500 ppb/sec in average, followed by a slow decay down to 600 ppb/sec in December. It is found that \(^{13}\text{CO}_2\) emission rates are correlated to the annual cycle of the soil temperature and anti-correlated with the water table level (Table 3), in agreement with stimulation factors of biodegradation efficiency (temperature, oxygenation of the biofilm when water table is lowering in summer). Higher \(^{13}\text{CO}_2\) emissions are observed at the barrier level since the beginning of bio-stimulation on 27 March 2014 (Fig. 7). \(^{13}\text{CO}_2\) maximum values of fluxes observed, i.e., \(-10\) to \(100 \mu\text{mol/(m}^2\text{·sec)}\) (from 400 to 4000 ppb/sec) from February to July, match with the polluted zone defined by geochemical borehole analyses of BTEX concentration (Fig. 9) downstream the former tank installation. These \(^{13}\text{CO}_2\) fluxes are much larger than the average emissions due to vegetal grassland soil respiration, which commonly varies from \(1\) to \(5 \mu\text{mol CO}_2/\text{(m}^2\text{·sec)}\) from winter to summer (Raich and Tufekciogul, 2000). In this site, natural soil respiration has been reduced by removing the 15 cm top layer of the ground surface for each collar sunk into the soil. \(^{13}\text{CO}_2\) fluxes from uncontaminated area vary from \(-0.7\) to \(2.5 \mu\text{mol/(m}^2\text{·sec)}\) (i.e., \(-30\) to 200 ppb/sec). As a consequence, \(^{13}\text{CO}_2\) emissions in this site are largely coming from bacterial
respiration from biodegradation of hydrocarbons from the contaminated aquifer.

Precision on individual value obtained in the field for $\delta^{13}C$ of CO$_2$ from Keeling plot intercept after 5 to 60 min of CO$_2$ accumulation in chambers usually varies from 0.15‰ to 0.4‰ VPDB, respectively.

$\delta^{13}C$ of CO$_2$ time series (Fig. 8 and Table 3) leads to an average value of $-28.3$‰ VPDB from May to December with no significant change versus time. February data are not taken into account due to the large uncertainties existing in these data. One can note that the site is accessible two days per month (or per campaign) with a limited period (~6 hr) for effective measurements. Much weaker fluxes in February compared to the later periods induce a very long accumulation period for CO$_2$ in the chamber (20 to 60 min) to derive $\delta^{13}C$ of CO$_2$ from the Keeling method approach. As a consequence, less data points are available in February with lower precision due to larger drift of the instrument. Fluxes in July and October are strong enough to cover most of the plots of the site within this limited period. It can be observed that $\delta^{13}C$ of CO$_2$ emissions at ground surface in July varies from $-30.5\pm 0.7$‰ VPDB to $-28.3\pm 1.6$‰ VPDB from upstream to downstream the pollution plume (Fig. 8 and Table 4), corresponding to an increase of 2.2‰ VPDB; an increase of 1.1‰ VPDB remains in October. Same trends are observed for $\delta^{13}C$ of representative BTEX (benzene and toluene) in aquifer as shown in Fig. 10, despite the fact that they have not been measured at same periods, i.e., earlier in May and later in December. Average evolution (May and December) of $\delta^{13}C$ of benzene and toluene in aquifer increases from $-28.1\pm 0.5$‰ VPDB to $-26.7\pm 1.2$‰ VPDB and from $-27.4\pm 0.4$‰ VPDB to $-24.8\pm 1.9$‰ VPDB, respectively, from upstream to downstream the pollution plume. These May and December $\delta^{13}C$ of CO$_2$ and of BTEX increases are mainly observed just few meters upstream the barrier (Fig. 11), indicating that biodegradation is mainly efficient after bioactivation. Results show that $\delta^{13}C$ of CO$_2$ released at the surface is lower than $\delta^{13}C$ of these two representative hydrocarbon sources with a difference of $-2.5$‰ VPDB upstream the barrier and of $-4$‰ VPDB downstream the barrier. This isotopic fractionation observed ($-3$‰) through the stream of the pollution plume due to selection of light isotopes ($^{13}C$) from bacterial metabolism is in agreement with reporting data from authors in Section 2.1.

From July data (Table 4), it can be also noted that $\delta^{13}C$ of CO$_2$ measured directly from the water table (i.e., measured directly through the PS2 piezometer labeled number PS2 in Fig. 6 between collars 10 and 15) is similar to the ones released through soil diffusion (i.e., from collars). The four PS2 replicates $\delta^{13}C$ of CO$_2$ values ($-30.0\pm -28.6\pm -28.8\pm -29.2$‰ giving an average of $-29.2\pm 0.6$‰ VPDB) are similar to the two values given from the closest collars 10 and 15 ($-30.3$ and $-29.5$‰ VPDB) and to the averaged values upstream the bio-barrier $30.2\pm 0.9$‰ VPDB. According to these data, no carbon isotopic fractionation of CO$_2$ occurs due to the transfer of CO$_2$ from its ground production to its land-air surface emission. Fractionation mentioned earlier is mainly due to bacterial metabolism discrimination.

Our data demonstrate that CO$_2$ flux can be correlated with biodegradation efficiency of hydrocarbons from the contaminated aquifer within the precision given from SPIRIT. Flux and $\delta^{13}C$ of the CO$_2$ released at the ground surface as well as $\delta^{13}C$ comparison with BTEX pollutants in aquifer show that
Fig. 7 – CO₂ flux time series. Each number (1–21) refers to a collar number for gas metering station.

Fig. 8 – δ¹³C values of CO₂ time series. Each number (1–21) refers to a collar number for gas metering station.
CO₂ released into atmosphere is largely coming from biodegradation of the hydrocarbon polluted plume in that specific site. In such contaminated site, hydrocarbon degradation kinetic could be calculated and the efficiency of a depollution process evaluated. More investigation should be done using this method.

3. Summary and conclusion

An isotope ratio infra-red spectrometer (IRIS) has been developed to measure CO₂ flux with δ¹³C isotopic signature of CO₂ with a precision better than 0.3‰, in addition to the measurement of fluxes of other greenhouse gases (CH₄, N₂O), thanks to the implementation of the SPIRIT instrument by the development of a new variable length path optical cell regulated in temperature with a precision of ±0.02°C. As an application, the new SPIRIT instrument has been tested on a BTEX-polluted site (gasoline station where gasoline and diesel fuels leaked) under aerobic bio-treatment to propose a non-intrusive method for monitoring in situ biodegradation of hydrocarbons. CO₂ flux measurements using the closed chamber method was combined with the determination of the isotopic signature δ¹³C of the CO₂ emission using the Keeling plot method.

Table 3 – Average CO₂ flux and δ¹³C values of CO₂ time series compared to other parameters in 2014.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>February</th>
<th>May</th>
<th>July</th>
<th>October</th>
<th>December</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chamber temperature (°C)</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>19.7</td>
<td>27.6</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soil temperature at ground surface (°C)</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>17.4</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>10.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water table temperature in piezometer number Pz 2 at –5.29 m (°C)</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>14.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water table depth in piezometer number P4 (m)</td>
<td>–1.59</td>
<td>–2.2</td>
<td>–2.44</td>
<td>–3.21</td>
<td>–3.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flux (ppbV/sec)ᵃ</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>1470</td>
<td>960</td>
<td>560</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flux (µmol/(m²·sec))</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>331</td>
<td>214</td>
<td>125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>δ¹³C (% VPDB)</td>
<td>–25.4</td>
<td>–28.8</td>
<td>–29.3</td>
<td>–27.5</td>
<td>–27.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>σ (% VPDB)</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

δ¹³C: isotopic signature ¹³C of CO₂; σ: standard deviation of δ¹³C; VPDB: Vienna Pee Dee Belemnite.

ᵃ Chamber mean high 61 cm.
On that specific site, SPIRIT instrument using IRIS technology has proven its sensitivity to demonstrate that CO\textsubscript{2} emissions from the contaminated soil are mainly originating from the biodegradation of the hydrocarbons from the pollution liquid plume: (i) CO\textsubscript{2} emissions match with the polluted zone defined by geochemical borehole analyses (BTEX and other hydrocarbon concentration) and (ii) isotopic signatures \(\delta^{13}C\) of CO\textsubscript{2} emissions at ground surface are correlated with the \(\delta^{13}C/^{12}C\) of the main hydrocarbons (benzene and toluene) present in aquifer from upstream to downstream the pollution plume with a fractionation that corresponds to bacterial metabolism for biodegradation of BTEX into CO\textsubscript{2}.

From this work, it appears that ground surface gas analysis (CO\textsubscript{2} flux with isotopic signature determination) could be a non-intrusive method allowing for quantifying remediation kinetic of a gasoline contaminated soil on real time in situ at the scale of the polluted plume.

Indeed, such polluted sites from gasoline station are widespread in France and worldwide. Low cost methods to monitor soil depollution could be applied by continuous CO\textsubscript{2} flux measurements using automatic chambers and cheap CO\textsubscript{2} probes such as “©Vaisala probes” (GMP343 Carbon Dioxide Probe) (Vaisala Oyj, Helsinki, Finland) located at ground surface within an appropriate grid pattern to cover the pollution liquid plume. CO\textsubscript{2} biogeochemical origins can be quantified from isotopic signature \(\delta^{13}C/^{12}C\) of the CO\textsubscript{2} monitoring by using IRIS portable instruments. Despite the fact that IRIS instrument operation still requires human presence on the site, human resource cost can be limited because \(\delta^{13}C/^{12}C\) of the CO\textsubscript{2} measurements at the field only needs to be performed at discrete times to quantify CO\textsubscript{2} emission origin during a depollution process period.

In addition to be a non-intrusive method for in situ monitoring, remediation efficiency policy could be evaluated and decided in real time from ground surface gas analysis. Also, the best cost efficiency policy for the choice of the remediation process can be defined at an early stage (bio stimulation versus natural remediation; bio- or chemical depollution) as a function of the measured \(\delta^{13}C\) values of benzene and toluene in aquifer and CO\textsubscript{2} emission at ground surface reported along the axis of the pollution plume.

**Table 4 – Average \(\delta^{13}C\) and flux of CO\textsubscript{2} relative to the barrier.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Monitored parameter</th>
<th>July</th>
<th>August</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(\delta^{13}C \pm \sigma) (‰ VPDB)</td>
<td>Flux (ppb/sec)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Downstream barrier (north)</td>
<td>(-28.3 \pm 1.6)</td>
<td>1020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upstream barrier (south)</td>
<td>(-30.5 \pm 0.7)</td>
<td>1280</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Piezometer number PS2: located upstream collar 10</td>
<td>(-29.2 \pm 0.6)</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(\delta^{13}C\): isotopic signature \(^{13}C\) of CO\textsubscript{2}; VPDB: Vienna Pee Dee Belemnite.

Fig. 11 – \(\delta^{13}C\) values of benzene and toluene in aquifer and CO\textsubscript{2} emission at ground surface reported along the axis of the pollution plume.
of environmental risks (river or ground water contamination) or economic interests (deadlines for future land use or sells) to take into account, if relevant.
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